

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

IN RE ALTA MESA RESOURCES, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION	CASE NO. 4:19-CV-00957 (CONSOLIDATED) JUDGE GEORGE C. HANKS, JR. THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: CASE NO. 4:22-CV-01189 CASE NO. 4:22-CV-02590
--	---

**THE DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS' JOINDER IN CLASS PLAINTIFFS'
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS RIVERSTONE HOLDINGS LLC'S, STEPHEN
COATS', AND THOMAS WALKER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
AS TO PLAINTIFFS' SECTION 20(a) CLAIM**

Plaintiffs Alyeska Master Fund, L.P., Alyeska Master Fund 2, L.P., and Alyeska Master Fund 3, L.P. (collectively, the “Alyeska Plaintiffs”), and Orbis Global Equity LE Fund (Australia Registered), Orbis Global Equity Fund (Australia Registered), Orbis Global Balanced Fund (Australia Registered), Orbis SICAV, Orbis Institutional Global Equity L.P., Orbis Global Equity Fund Limited, Orbis Institutional Funds Limited, Allan Gray Australia Balanced Fund, Orbis OEIC, and Orbis Institutional U.S. Equity L.P. (collectively, the “Orbis Plaintiffs,” and, together with the Alyeska Plaintiffs, the “Direct Action Plaintiffs”), hereby file this Joinder in the Class Plaintiffs’ Opposition (ECF No. 570) (the “Opposition”) to Defendants Riverstone Holdings LLC’s, Stephen Coats’, and Thomas Walker’s Motion for Summary Judgment as to Plaintiffs’ Section 20(a) Claim (ECF No. 518) (the “Section 20(a) Motion”).

JOINDER

The Section 20(a) Motion seeks dismissal of the common claims asserted under Section 20(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 against Defendants Riverstone Holdings LLC (only as to “the alleged post-Business Combination misstatements,” *see* Section 20(a) Motion at 1), Stephen Coats, and Thomas Walker (only as to “the alleged pre-Business Combination misstatements,” *see id.*) (“Moving Defendants”) by the Class Plaintiffs, the Alyeska Plaintiffs, and the Orbis Plaintiffs. Therefore, in the interest of judicial economy, the Direct Action Plaintiffs hereby join in the Opposition and support, adopt, and incorporate by reference in full the arguments made therein in opposition to the Section 20(a) Motion.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth in the Opposition, and for the foregoing reasons, the Direct Action Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court deny the Section 20(a) Motion in its entirety.

Dated: January 19, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Lawrence M. Rolnick

Lawrence M. Rolnick

Michael J. Hampson

Matthew A. Peller

Joseph R. Sparacio

ROLNICK KRAMER SADIGHI LLP

1251 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10020

(212) 597-2800

lrolnick@rksllp.com

mhampson@rksllp.com

mpeller@rksllp.com

jsparacio@rksllp.com

*Attorneys for the Alyeska and Orbis
Plaintiffs*

Jules P. Slim

TX Bar No. 00793026

Attorney and Counselor

PO Box 140307

Irving, TX 75014-0307

Tel.: (214) 350-5183

Fax: (214) 350-5184

jslim@slimlawfirm.com

*Counsel for the Alyeska and Orbis
Plaintiffs*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on January 19, 2024, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send electronic notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ Lawrence M. Rolnick

Lawrence M. Rolnick